



Audit Trail vs. NAV Change Log

What are the benefits of having a solution other than the native NAV tool for an audit trail history? The question that Dynamics NAV (Navision) users ask is—Why Audit Trail? Why not use the built in Change Log functionality? Below is a chart explaining the differences between Fastpath's Audit Trail and the NAV Change Log.

NAV Change Log

Setup



Ad-hoc only reporting with difficult to identify fields and activities

Change Tracking



Only track changes within NAV

Data Management



Over-auditing creates large amounts of data (additional data storage expense, slow reporting)

Reporting & Access



Limited export capability with no sign off capabilities

Fastpath Audit Trail

Setup



Out of the box templates identify critical fields and activities

Change Tracking



Tracks all changes inside of NAV and to the SQL schema database directly

Data Management



Risk-based approach captures only the most critical data

Reporting & Access



Reports can be assigned, scheduled, reviewed and signed-off on



Audits are easily customizable



Tracks NAV object changes



Reduces the amount of data needed to be stored



Provides a road map for the external audit



All audits tested for minimal performance impact



Risk-based templates increase performance



Automated archive and purge functions



Export to multiple formats: Excel and PDF